

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				<u> </u>
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,798	10/06/2000	Alain Benayoun	FR9-1999-0035 US1	2906
75	90 07/02/2004	EXAMINER		
	REW DILLON	BURGESS, BARBARA N		
BRACEWELL	AND PATTERSON LLP			
111 CONGRESS AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2300		2157	G	
AUSTIN, TX	78701		DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/680,79	98	BENAYOUN ET AL.	(A)			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Barbara N	l Burgess	2157				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	5			
A SHO THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nunication. 80) days, a reply within the stat attutory period will apply and w r will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commun NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>09 April 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the mer	its is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1 and 7-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 1 and 7-27 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application	on Papers							
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) 🗌 🤄	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)	objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rule)	en received. en received in Applica ents have been recei e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stag	e			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	270.040)	4) Interview Summa					
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)	ı			

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to amendments filed April 9, 2004. Claims 2-6 have been cancelled as requested by Applicants. Claims 1, 7-14 are presented for further examination. Claims 15-27 are presented for initial examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lai et al. (hereinafter "Lai", US 2001/0052057 A1).

As per claims 1, 15, 22, Lai discloses a communication device for transferring data between two devices coupled to a network, said communication device comprising:

- A dual-port memory for storing data (paragraphs [0026], [0028]);
- A high-speed interface for transmitting, said data between a first device and said dual port memory, wherein said high-speed interface

Art Unit: 2157

communicates data at an initial rate (paragraphs [0026]-[0028], [0030]-[0031], [0033]);

- A plurality of low-speed interfaces, each connected to a respective one of
 a plurality of links to said second device, for transmitting data from said
 dual-port memory to said respective one of said plurality of links at one of
 a plurality of data rates, wherein at least two of said plurality of data rates
 are unequal and are fractions of said initial rate, all said fractions being
 capable of reduction to a common denominator and at least one of said
 fractions being irreducible (paragraphs [0030], [0034]-[0035], [0037],
 [0041]);
- Controller for controlling said memory and said interfaces and for monitoring a data rate of said data between said memory and said plurality of links, wherein said controller includes means for cyclically distributing data to be communicated from said memory to said second device among said low-peed interfaces, such that each of said plurality of low speed interfaces receives a number of consecutive units of said data equal to the numerator of its associated fraction (paragraphs [0012], [0027], [0030], [0040]).

As per claims 7, 16, 23, Lai discloses the communication device of Claim 1, wherein, at least one of the set of said high-speed interface and said plurality of low speed interfaces comprises means for establishing a connection with a modem (paragraphs [0030], [0033]-[0034]).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 10, 17, 24, Lai discloses the communication device of Claim 1 wherein said hi-speed interface further comprises means for receiving said data at an said initial rate, wherein said initial rate is equal to a sum of said plurality of data rates (paragraphs [0030]-[0031], [0034], [0036]-[0037]).

As per claims 11, 18, 25, Lai discloses the communication device of Claim 10, wherein at least two of said data rates are equal (paragraphs [0037]-[0038]).

As per claims 12, 19, 26, Lai discloses the communication device of Claim 1, wherein said initial rate equals a sum of said plurality of data rates (paragraphs [0030]-[0031], [0034], [0036]-[0037]).

As per claims 13, 20, 27, Lai discloses the communication device of Claim 1 said controller further comprises means for reporting said data rates (paragraphs [0012], [0027], [0030], [0040]).

As per claims 14, 21, Lai discloses the communication device of Claim 1, wherein said high speed interface further comprises means for transmitting said data at said initial rate wherein said initial rate is equal to a sum of said plurality of data rates and at least two of said data rates are unequal (paragraphs [0037]-[0038]).

Art Unit: 2157

Response to Arguments

The Office notes the following arguments:

(a) Swales does not explicitly disclose each feature recited by Amended claim

1.

(b) Applicants submit that Claim 1 is not rendered obvious by the combination of Swales with Zikan, because the combination does not teach or suggest all of the elements of Applicant's amended claims.

In response to:

(a)-(b) Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 6,732,305

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (703) 305-3366. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax

Art Unit: 2157

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2157

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2106